

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Thomas Spilsbury, Jr.

CASE NO. C-09-05955 JW

Plaintiff(s),

v.

Target Corp.,

STIPULATION AND [Proposed]
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Defendant(s).
_____ /

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)

Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:

☒ Private ADR (please identify process and provider) Private mediation. Mediator

selection still being discussed by the parties.

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

the presumptive deadline *(The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)*

☒ other requested deadline September 30, 2010

Dated: _____

Dated: 3-10-10

Timothy D. McMahon

Attorney for Plaintiff

Juliet MacMillin Lompa

Attorney for Defendant

Received 30684 JMC
MAR 15 2010

TIMOTHY D. McMAHON, ESQ. SBN #117024
CORSIGLIA McMAHON & ALLARD LLP
96 NORTH THIRD STREET, SUITE 620
SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95112
TELEPHONE: (408) 289-1417
FAX: (408) 289-8127

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Thomas Spilsbury, Jr.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

THOMAS SPILSBURY, JR.,

Plaintiff,

v.

TARGET CORPORATION, SELECT
BRANDS, INC. and DOES 1 through 25,
inclusive,

Defendants.

No. CV 09 5955 JW (HRL)

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER SELECTING ADR
PROCESS**

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following Stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

Non-binding Arbitration (ADR I.R. 4)

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) ADR L.R. 5)

Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

///

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5.)

Private Process:

✓ Private ADR (please identify process and provider) Private mediation.


Mediator selection still be discussed by parties.

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

The presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)

✓ Other requested deadline September 30, 2010

Dated: March 11 2010



Timothy D. McMahon, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: _____

Juliet MacMillin Lompa
Attorney for Defendant

James Whise
~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

1
2 Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the above-captioned matter is hereby referred
3 to:

4 Non-binding Arbitration

5 Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

6 Mediation

7 x Private ADR

8 Deadline for ADR session:

9 90 days from date of this order.

10 X other: September 30, 2010

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: April 9, 2010

James Whise
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE